

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/573,697 | 03/13/2007 | Dingyi Hong | U 016220-0 | 7117 |
| 140 7590 07/01/2008 LADAS & PARRY LLP 26 WEST 61ST STREET | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | GALE, KELLETTE | |
| NEW YORK, | NY 10023 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1621 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/01/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. HONG ET AL. 10/573,697 Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit KELLETTE GALE 1621

Applicant(s)

| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address eriod for Reply |
|---|
| |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALIJING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limitely filed after SIK (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. - IN Do period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wit apply and wit expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with by statute, cause the application to become ARADYONED (36 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than these months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patient term adjustemes. See 37 CFR 17/04(b) |
| tatus |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 March 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |
| isposition of Claims |
| 4) Claim(s) 13-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 13-32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. |
| pplication Papers |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. |
| riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 |
| 12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ⊠ All b) □ some * c) □ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. |
| |
| ttachment(s) |

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/C8)
 - Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/13/2007.

- Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: __

Application/Control Number: 10/573,697

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 13-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okamoto et al (US 5,087,767) in view of JP 54-019951.

Applicant claims a method for preparing bisphenol A, comprising the steps of transferring phenol and acetone into a reaction zone charged with a condensation catalyst, transferring the obtained stream containing bisphenol A into a rectification zone, transferring the product fraction primarily containing bisphenol A and phenol into a crystallization zone to obtain a bisphenol A product, characterized in that a water depleted fraction primarily containing phenol, bisphenol A and acetone is obtained from the rectification zone and said water depleted fraction is cooled and returned as a cycled stream to the reaction zone.

Application/Control Number: 10/573,697

Art Unit: 1621

Determination of the scope and content of the prior art

(MPEP §2141.01)

Okamoto et al teaches a method for preparing bisphenol A comprising reacting acetone and phenol in the presence of a catalyst wherein the reaction of acetone and phenol is performed while removing a part of the water generated during the reaction from the mixed solution containing acetone and phenol by a pervaporation method. Therefore the water is separated out (see abstract). Also, it is said that according to the method of this invention, bisphenol A produced can be recovered by a known method such as removal of phenol by evacoration or crystallization (col 7, lines 11-15).

JP 36-23334 and JP 54-19951 teach in this same patent of Okamoto et all that water containing other fractions can be recycled back into the reaction system (please see col. 2, lines 53-60 and col. 3, lines 5-10).

Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims

(MPEP §2141.02)

The difference between the prior art and the claims is that Okamoto et al does not teach the recycling of water and other fractions back into the reaction system.

However, this is taught by JP 36-23334 and JP 54-19951.

Finding of prima facie obviousness

Rational and Motivation (MPEP §2142-2143)

As taught by JP 36-23334 and JP 19951, it is obvious and very well known in the art to recycle water and other fractions of the reaction mixture back into the reaction system. One having ordinary skill in the art would find it obvious to do so as Okamoto

Application/Control Number: 10/573,697

Art Unit: 1621

et al shows that this is well known in the art by citing the two Japanese patents. One having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention would be motivated to do so as it is said that this increases the concentration of bisphenol A in the flow of the reaction product (please see col. 3, lines 3 and 4).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KELLETTE GALE whose telephone number is (571)272-8038. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30am-3:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, YVONNE EYLER can be reached on 571-272-0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/573,697

Art Unit: 1621

Kellette Gale Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600

June 16, 2008

/SHAILENDRA - KUMAR/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621